

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,121		12/10/2003	Roch Gaudreau	04104-058 4653		
56535	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER		
		PARTNERS	COOLMAN, VAUGHN			
METCALFE SUITE 800	LIOWER	2, 1550 METCALFE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	MONTREAL, QC H3A-1X6				3618	
CANADA		•		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Notice of Alexandran	10/731,121	   GAUDREAU ET	AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vaughn T. Coolman	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the	•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe	5). received on (with a Certification	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).		··· <b>,</b>	
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>			
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>		e the period for see	king court review
7.  The reason(s) below:	All c		
	' SUPERVIS	ISTOPHER P. ELLIS ORY PATENT EXAI OLOGY CENTER 36	MINER

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment
Part of Paper No. 04122006